



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Woodington DCC 20241 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 826,225	04 04 2001	Julian Norley	P-1029	2459
7	590 05 20 2002			
James R. Cartiglia			FXAMINER	
Graftech Inc. Suite 1100			FLANIGAN, ALLEN J	
3102 West End Nashville, TN	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	

DATE MAILI:D: 05 20 2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	50
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/826,225	NORLEY ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Allen J. Flanigan	3743
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE textensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, It. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. Is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)[This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	allowance except for formal ma under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement	
Application Papers	na/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in A	Application No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for the application for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for de		
a) The translation of the foreign langua		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d		
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/826,225

Art Unit: 3743

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a laminating process, classified in class 156, subclass 60.
- II. Claims 7-15, drawn to a laminated article, classified in class 428, subclass 408.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as one which does not employ separate laminate forming and directionally aligning steps (one in which a single step accomplishes both lamination and directional alignment).

Applicants should note that, according to MPEP 806.05(f):

"A product defined by the process by which it can be made is still a product claim (In re Bridgeford, 357 F.2d 679, 149 USPQ 55 (CCPA 1966)) and can be restricted from the process if the examiner can demonstrate that the product as claimed can be made by another

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/826,225

Art Unit: 3743

materially different process; defining the product in terms of a process by which it is made is nothing more than a permissible technique that applicant may use to define the invention."

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should the applicants elect invention I above, the application is subject to an additional election of species requirement as follows:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The species in which the application of pressure is effected after the formation of the laminate, and the species in which the pressure application is effected prior to the formation of the laminate.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, and 5 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,225

Art Unit: 3743

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen J. Flanigan whose telephone

number is (703) 308-1015. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7764 for regular communications and (703) 305-3463 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861. allen I slangen

> Allen J. Flanigan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743

Page 5

AJF

May 17, 2002